1	JOHNSON BOTTINI, LLP Francis A. Bottini (CA 175783)	DLA PIPER LLP (US) Christopher M. Young (Bar No. 163319)
2	frankb@johnsonbottini.com Shawn E. Fields (CA 255267)	chris.young@dlapiper.com Noah A. Katsell (Bar No. 217090)
3	shawnf@johnsonbottini.com 501 West Broadway, Suite 1720	noah.katsell@dlapiper.com 401 "B" Street, Suite 1700
4	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0063	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-2632
5	Facsimile: (619) 238-0622	Facsimile: (619) 764-6632
6	Counsel for Plaintiffs Scott Rosendahl and Veronica Clark	Counsel for Defendants Bridgepoint Education, Inc., Ashford University & University of the Rockies
7	CHAPIN FITZGERALD SULLIVAN, LL. Edward D. Chapin (Bar No. 53287)	
8	echapin@cfslawfirm.com Kenneth M. Fitzgerald (Bar. 142505)	
9	kfitzgerald@cfslawfirm.com	
10	550 West "C" Street, Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101	
11	Telephone: (619) 241-4810 Facsimile: (619) 955-5318	
12	Counsel for Plaintiff Betty Guzman UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
13	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SCOTT ROSENDAHL and VERONICA	Case No.: 11CV61 WQH (WVG)
15	CLARK, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
16	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT AND
17	V.	PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO CONSOLIDATE ACTIONS AND APPOINT
18	BRIDGEPOINT EDUCATION, INC.,	CO-LEAD COUNSEL UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 42
19	ASHFORD UNIVERSITY, and UNIVERSITY OF THE ROCKIES,	Reds of cryssingessens 12
20	Defendants.	Trial Date: None set
21		
22	BETTY GUZMAN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 11CV69 W (BGS)
23	Plaintiff,	
24	V.	
25	BRIDGEPOINT EDUCATION, INC.,	
26	ASHFORD UNIVERSITY, and UNIVERSITY OF THE ROCKIES,	
27	Defendants.	
28		
WEST\223114981.1		

Joint Motion to Extend Time for Defendants to Respond to Consolidated Complaint and Plaintiffs' Request to Consolidate Actions and Appoint Co-Lead Counsel

Case No. 11CV61 WQHWVG

19

20

25

26

24

27 28

WEST\223114981.1

In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42, Scott Rosendahl and Veronica Clark, the plaintiffs in Rosendahl and Clark v. Bridgepoint Education, Inc., et al., S.D. Cal. Case No. 11CV61 WQHWVG ("Rosendahl"), Betty Guzman, the plaintiff in Guzman v, Bridgepoint Education, Inc., et al., S.D. Cal. Case No. 11CV69 W BGS, on the one hand (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and the defendants in both Rosendahl and Guzman, on the other hand (collectively, "Defendants"), state, and respectively move this Court, as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs represent that their respective consumer class action complaints, Rosendahl and Guzman, allege, among other things, that Bridgepoint Education, Inc., misrepresented to prospective students certain facts about its academic institutions, Ashford University and University of the Rockies, in order to induce them to enroll at one of these schools. These alleged misrepresentations included written and oral misrepresentations, as well as material omissions, regarding the true cost of attendance, the quality of academic instruction, the schools' accreditations, students' post-graduation employability, and students' obligation to repay federally-subsidized student loans. Both Rosendahl and Guzman further allege that Bridgepoint improperly provided incentive payments to "enrollment advisors" based solely on enrollment quotas, and that Bridgepoint specifically targeted military veterans for its deceptive enrollment practices. Defendants, although they have not yet responded to the class action complaints, deny the allegations in Rosendahl and Guzman.
- 2. The parties have met and conferred in good faith and, in order to avoid unnecessary duplication of efforts, intend to coordinate management of these consumer class action cases.
- 3. The parties represent that, because Rosendahl and Guzman arise from similar events and assert similar claims against similar defendants, and resolution of these cases involves common questions of law and fact. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a).
 - 4. Accordingly, Plaintiffs request as follows:
- The Rosendahl and Guzman actions shall be consolidated for all a. purposes under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) before the Honorable William Q. Hayes, in accordance with Local Civil Rule 40.1.h. (the "low-number rule"). This consolidated action

BRIDGEPOINT EDUCATION, INC. CONSUMER CLASS ACTION LITIGATION, Master File

Every document filed in the Consolidated Student Consumer Class

Master File No. 11CV61 WQH (WVG)

Every document shall state in the caption, after the phrase "This Document Relates To," whether the document is intended to apply to "All Actions" or to any specific related action(s).

- Other student consumer class actions brought against Bridgepoint Education, Inc. that are filed in or transferred to this District and share common issues of fact and law with the Consolidated Consumer Class Action (a "New Consumer Class Action") shall be consolidated with the Consolidated Consumer Class Action for all purposes, including pretrial proceedings and trial, and this Joint Motion and Order shall apply thereto, unless: (i) a party to the New Consumer Class Action (including any defendant thereto), objects to such consolidation, as provided herein, within ten (10) days after the date on which a copy of the Order of this Court granting this motion is served on counsel for such party, by filing an application for relief; and (ii) the Court deems it appropriate to grant such application. Counsel for the parties in the Consolidated Consumer Class Action shall, as soon as practicable, call to the attention of the Clerk the filing or transfer of any New Consumer Class
- Upon being advised by one or more of the parties in the Consolidated Consumer Class Action of such filing or transfer, the Clerk shall file a copy of the Order of this Court granting this motion in the newly-consolidated actions, mail a copy thereof to all parties in the newly-consolidated actions, and make appropriate entries in the Master Docket regarding the consolidation.

- 5. Plaintiffs further request that plaintiffs in *Rosendahl* and *Guzman* be ordered to file a consolidated amended complaint (the "Consolidated Amended Complaint") no later than twenty-one (21) days after the date the Court signs an order approving the matters outlined in this Joint Motion.
- 6. Plaintiffs and Defendants jointly request that this Court issue an Order that Defendants need not file a response to the complaint filed in either *Rosendahl* or *Guzman*, and shall answer, move to stay or dismiss, or otherwise respond, to the Consolidated Amended Complaint until thirty (30) days after Plaintiffs file their Consolidated Amended Complaint.
- 7. In the event that Defendants file and serve any motion directed at the Consolidated Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs and Defendants jointly request that this Court order that Plaintiffs shall file and serve their opposition thirty (30) days after the filing of such motion, and that, if Defendants file and serve a brief in reply to Plaintiffs' opposition, they will do so fifteen (15) days after the filing of Plaintiffs' opposition.
- 8. Plaintiffs request that the Court appoint the law firms of Johnson Bottini, LLP and Chapin Fitzgerald Sullivan, LLP as Co-Lead Counsel for plaintiffs in the Consolidated Consumer Class Action, including all subsequently filed or transferred actions consolidated under this Stipulation and Order.
- 9. Plaintiffs request that Co-Lead Counsel shall have the authority over the following matters relating to the representation of all plaintiffs in the Consolidated Consumer Class Action:
- (a) directing, coordinating, and supervising the prosecution of plaintiffs' claims in the Consolidated Consumer Class Action, including the drafting and filing of all pleadings, motions, briefs, and other papers on plaintiffs' behalf;
- (b) initiating and conducting discovery, including coordinating discovery with defendants' counsel, preparing interrogatories, requests for admissions, and requests for production of documents, and directing and coordinating the examination of witnesses in depositions;
 - (c) retaining experts;

WEST\223114981.1

	Case 3:11-cv-00061-WQH -WVG Do	ocument 7 Filed 02/02/11 Page 6 of 7
1	Data de Fahmanne 2, 2011	IOUNGON DOTTING LLD
2	Dated: February 2, 2011	JOHNSON BOTTINI, LLP FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR SHAWN E. FIELDS
3		SIM WIVE. I ILLUS
4		/S/ Francis A. Bottini, Jr. FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR
5		501 West Broadway, Suite 1720
6		San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0063
7		Facsimile: (619) 238-0622
8		Counsel for Plaintiffs Scott Rosendahl and Veronica Clark
9	Datade Fahmany 2, 2011	CHADIN EITZGEDALD CHILIWAN LLD
10	Dated: February 2, 2011	CHAPIN FITZGERALD SULLIVAN, LLP EDWARD D. CHAPIN
11		KENNETH M. FITZGERALD CURTIS CARLL
12		DOUGLAS J. BROWN JENNIEFER M. CHAPMAN
13		
14		/S/ Edward D, Chapin EDWARD D. CHAPIN
15		550 West "C" Street, Suite 2000
16		San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 241-4810
17		Facsimile: (619) 955-5318 Counsel for Plaintiff Betty Guzman
18		
19	Dated: February 2, 2011	DLA PIPER LLP (US)
20	·	CHRISTOPHER M. YOUNG NOAH A. KATSELL
21		
22		/S/ Christopher M. Young CHRISTOPHER M. YOUNG
23		401 "B" Street, Suite 1700
24		San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-2632
25		Facsimile: (619) 764-6632 Counsel for Defendants Bridgepoint Education,
26		Inc., Ashford University & University of the Rockies
27		
28		
J	WEST\223114981.1	-5-
	Joint Motion to Extend Time for Defendants t	

Joint Motion to Extend Time for Defendants to Respond to Consolidated Complaint and Plaintiffs' Request to Consolidate Actions and Appoint Co-Lead Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is DLA Piper US LLP, 401 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, California 92101. On February 2, 2011, I served the within document(s):

JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT AND PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO CONSOLIDATE ACTIONS AND APPOINT CO-LEAD COUNSEL UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 42

	by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery via overnight/express service carrier at San Diego, California addressed as set forth below.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Diego, California addressed as set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below on this date before the close of normal business hours.
	by transmitting via electronic mail a copy of the document(s) listed above in .pdf format, with no transmission errors reported, to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) denoted on the Electronic Mail notice list.
E	I hereby certify that on the below date, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail notice list, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the Manual Notice list.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on February 2, 2011, at San Diego, California.

Sarah Walter

WEST\223114981.1

<u>-6-</u>